

المركز الوطني للتقويم والاعتماد الاكاديمي National Center for Academic Accreditation and Evaluation

## **ATTACHMENT 5.**

# T6. COURSE SPECIFICATIONS (CS)



Alel



## **Course Specifications**

A. Course Identification and General Information

Institution: Al-Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University	Date: 07.10. 2019
College/Department: Department of English Language and Literature	

1. Course title and code: Essay Writing – ENG 316						
2. Credit hours: Two Hours						
3. Program(s) in which the course is o	ffered.					
(If general elective available in many p	programs indicate this rather than	list programs)				
BA in English Language and Literature	e					
4. Name of faculty member responsible	e for the course					
5. Level/year at which this course is or						
6. Pre-requisites for this course (if any						
7. Co-requisites for this course (if any)	): None					
8. Location if not on main campus:						
<ul> <li>Main Campus (male section)</li> </ul>						
<ul> <li>King Abdullah City (Female Ca</li> </ul>	ampus)					
9. Mode of Instruction (mark all that a	pply):					
a. traditional classroom	√ What percentage?	80 %				
b. blended (traditional and online)	What percentage?					
a a laguring	NII .	20%				
c. e-learning \qquad \qqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqqq						

What percentage?

What percentage?

d. correspondence

f. other

Comments:



#### **B** Objectives

1. What is the main purpose for this course?

The purpose of this writing course is to provide level 6 students with extensive practice in essay writing.

- 2. Briefly describe any plans for developing and improving the course that are being implemented. (e.g. increased use of IT or web-based reference material, changes in content as a result of new research in the field)
- 1. Adopting more web-based materials
- 2. Having the course more engaging by taking the students every now and then to a different setting, for example, the library
- 3. Increasing in-class / take-home reading materials that show samples of the numerous rhetorical modes.

## C. Course Description (Note: General description in the form used in Bulletin or handbook)

#### **Course Description:**

This is an advanced writing course designed to consolidate students' knowledge of essays structure, organization, and function. The essays focus on argumentation, autobiographical narration, and classification. The units in the course will help students expand and support points of view at some length with subsidiary points, reasons and relevant examples. They can develop an argument systematically, giving appropriate emphasis to significant points, and presenting relevant supporting detail. They can give clear detailed descriptions of complex subjects and can usually write without consulting a dictionary. They can write so well that their language needs to be checked only if the text is an important one.

1. Topics to be Covered	4	
List of Topics	No. of Weeks	Contact
Unit Four: Argumentative Essays	Weeks	hours 10
Unit Five: Classification Essays	5	10
Unit Three: Reaction Essays	4	8
Revision	1	2
Final Exam	1	2



2. Course components (total contact hours and credits per	er semester):
---	---------------

		Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory/ Studio	Practical	Other:	Total
Contact	Planed	32					32 HRS
Hours	Actual	32					2 HRS
Credit	Planed				2		
	Actual						

3. Additional private study/learning hours expected for students per week.

4 HRS

4. Course Learning Outcomes in NQF Domains of Learning and Alignment with Assessment Methods and Teaching Strategy

On the table below are the five NQF Learning Domains, numbered in the left column.

<u>First</u>, insert the suitable and measurable course learning outcomes required in the appropriate learning domains (see suggestions below the table). <u>Second</u>, insert supporting teaching strategies that fit and align with the assessment methods and intended learning outcomes. <u>Third</u>, insert appropriate assessment methods that accurately measure and evaluate the learning outcome. Each course learning outcomes, assessment method, and teaching strategy ought to reasonably fit and flow together as an integrated learning and teaching process. (Courses are not required to include learning outcomes from each domain.)

Code **NQF** Learning Domains **Course Teaching** Course Assessment # And Course Learning Outcomes Strategies Methods Knowledge 1.0 By the end of this course, the students should be able to: 1.Class lectures Students write a creatively well-organized argumentative Write a six-paragraph argumentative 2.In-class essay of six paragraphs essay including ideas and reasons. 1.1 assignment consisting of 150 or more words having coherence and 3. Pair work consistency. Reproduce information through Students write a six cohesive written language paragraph essay of 150 words for including each paragraph including three or appropriate grammar, connectors, four creative ideas, appropriate vocabulary, sentences and paragraph 1.2 connectors and correct sentence cohesion, and a variety of sentence structures. structures.



		tion Evaluation Commission			
2.0	Cognitive Skills By the end of this course, the students should be able to:				
2.1	Compose unifying themes (e.g., quotations, facts, examples, and excerpts organization: An introduction, body, and conclusion with a controlling idea, topic sentences, and supporting details).	1.Class lectures 2.Group work 3.Instructor's corrective input	1.Students write creatively well- organized classification essays of 150 words using creative three or four ideas using connectors showing addition and contrast.  2.Quiz		
2.2	Develop control of organizational elements in multiple paragraph texts (e.g., thesis, details, leads, conclusions, and transitions).	1.Class discussion 2.In class assignments	1.Students write creatively- well- organized essay of 150 or more words for each paragraph, having coherence and consistency. They have appropriate texts about thesis, details, leads conclusions, and transitions.  2.Midterm exam		
3.0	Interpersonal Skills & Responsibility	<u> </u> y	Z.Midlerin exam		
3.0	By the end of this course, the students				
3.1	Demonstrate relevant and effective ideas, information and arguments using an appropriate register, style and format.	Tutorial work     Peer correction	1.Students are asked to demonstrate four of each of these genres: opinions, arguments including appropriate register, style and format.		
3.2	Judge their strengths and weaknesses as members of a team.	1.Group work 2.In-class assignments	1.Students' groups exchange their essays and demonstrate the points of strength and the points of weakness regarding ideas, parts of speech, number of words in each paragraph, cohesion, appropriateness of topic sentence, supporting sentences and concluding sentence.		
4.0	Communication, Information Technolog By the end of this course, the students				
4.1	Interpret ideas in writing skillfully and easily with the lecturer and peers adopting	1.Presentations 2.Classroom	1.Students are asked to interpret three of each of these genres: argumentative, classification and		



	rhetorically correct forms that could exactly express a certain rhetorical mode of writing.	discussion  3.Group work	reaction essay genres orally and in writing which in turn interpret a specific rhetorical mode of writing.  2.Exams & Quizzes.
4.2	Research information and communications technology in investigating into writing rhetorical modes related issues comprehensively.	1.Computer Lab Assignments	1.Students are asked to research information on the Internet and evaluate written rhetorical modes through a plagiarism program.
5.0	Psychomotor		-
5.1	Not required for humanities Specialization		
5.2	Not required for humanities Specialization		

5.	Schedule of Assessment Tasks for Students During the Se	emester	
	Assessment task (i.e., essay, test, quizzes, group project, examination, speech, oral presentation, etc.)	Week Due	Proportion of Total Assessment
1	Mid-term Exam	8 <sup>th</sup> week	20%
2	Quiz 1 Quiz 2	4 <sup>th</sup> , 7 <sup>th</sup> , & 10 <sup>th</sup> weeks	10%
	Quiz 3		
3	Assignments		10%
4	Final Exam	End of the Semester	60%

### D. Student Academic Counseling and Support

- 1. Arrangements for availability of faculty and teaching staff for individual student consultations and academic advice. (include amount of time teaching staff are expected to be available each week)
  - 2 hours office work per week
  - Advisory sessions by appointment



#### **E Learning Resources**

1. List Required Textbooks

Liss, R. and Davis, J. (2012) Effective Academic Writing 3, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Oxford University Press.

- 2. List Essential References Materials (Journals, Reports, etc.)
  - Gilbert, J. & Colonna, M. (2006) Reason To Write. Oxford University Press.
  - Hogue, Ann (1996) First Steps in Academic Writing, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., London.
  - Smalley, R.L., Ruetten, M. K., & Kozyrev J.R. (2000). *Refining Composition Skills: Rhetoric and Grammar* (5th ed.). Boston: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- 3. List Electronic Materials, Web Sites, Facebook, Twitter, etc.

 $\underline{https://writingcenter.fas.harvard.edu/pages/strategies-essay-writing,}\\ \underline{https://bowvalleycollege.libguides.com/essays}$ 

www.paragraphpunch.com

4. Other learning material such as computer-based programs/CD, professional standards or regulations and software.

turnitin.com to check for plagiarism

#### F. Facilities Required

Indicate requirements for the course including size of classrooms and laboratories (i.e. number of seats in classrooms and laboratories, extent of computer access, etc.)

- 1. Accommodation (Classrooms, laboratories, demonstration rooms/labs, etc.)
- Lecture rooms.
- Computer labs.
- 2. Technology resources (AV, data show, Smart Board, software, etc.)
- -A computer.
- -A data Show
- 3. Other resources (specify, e.g. if specific laboratory equipment is required, list requirements or attach list)

None



#### **G** Course Evaluation and Improvement Processes

- 1. Strategies for Obtaining Student Feedback on Effectiveness of Teaching
  - An official course evaluation that is administered by the Quality Assurance Department.
- 2. Other Strategies for Evaluation of Teaching by the Instructor or by the Department
  - Class visits
  - Peer observations
- 3. Processes for Improvement of Teaching
  - Writing labs should be established to assist students in developing composition skills
  - More classroom practice is needed
  - Smaller group size

Name of Course Instructor:

- 4. Processes for Verifying Standards of Student Achievement (e.g. check marking by an independent member teaching staff of a sample of student work, periodic exchange and remarking of tests or a sample of assignments with staff at another institution)
  - Identify rubrics for each students' activity or assignment
  - Check marking of a sample of examination papers either by a resident or visiting faculty member
  - Arrange with another institution to have two common test items included on an exam and compare marks given
- 5. Describe the planning arrangements for periodically reviewing course effectiveness and planning for improvement.
  - Course specification to be reviewed periodically in line with course evaluations by students, course reports by teachers, feedback from host institutions, and programme reviews.

rame of course manace	1.	
Signature:	_ Date Specification Completed:	
Program Coordinator:		
Signature:	Date Received:	

