

## Abstract

The logical evidences for the grammarians meet many evidences of the fundamentalists, other than the care of the grammarians about writing down the evidences and authoring in them, if they not be on wide scale; the most prominent in their heritage doesn't exceed what Ibn Jani has made in Al-Khassaes, and Al-Anbari in his two thesis and Al-Soyutti in "Al-Iqtrah".

The reviewer in what the fundamentalists in this field stands on evidences not mentioned among the principles that the grammarians set them, though they have appeared in their speech and have been familiarized in their inferring. What is called by the fundamentalists as: Interlink is one of these evidences.

**The Interlink** in inferring has two sides:

**One side: The deduced**, it is deduced through the Interlink.

**The other side:** the necessary deduction. It is deduced through **the deduced**.

These two sides are familiar at the grammarians in their applied studies. Tough they aren't mentioned in their fundamentalisms, the second of them- the necessary- is the most mentioned of the two sides and the most effective of them. I have concluded - After Conciliation of Allah – to discuss it in independent subject entitled with: the "The necessary deduction" and its effect in grammar and lexicology.

This thesis has consisted of seven chapters, preceded by a preface and an introduction, followed by a conclusion, and it is proved resources and references, technical indexes.

I have clarified, in the first Chapter, the concept of the "Necessary Concept", and illustrating its position among the evidences, with putting the disciplines for a attribution of the necessary deduction of saying to who said the deduced. In the second chapter, I have showed the aspects taken by the grammarians at that fundamental. In the third chapter, I have discussed the issues of grammar and lexicology in which the

necessary deduction has an effect in protesting for it. In the fourth chapter, I have discussed the listened-Audio texts in which the “necessary deduction” has an effect in directing it. In the fifth chapter, I have followed the requirements of the syntaxes and the declensions that are contrary to the fundamentals of the creeds, and classified it among general styles. In the seventh chapter, I have clarified the attitude of the scientists toward the necessary deduction, their ways in answering about it, ways of their release from its forbidden, and also I have clarified the aspects of inferring with the necessary deduction, its images in light of the grammarians and the fundamentalist, then in the conclusion, I have mentioned the most significant results.